Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,392	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	٠.
(1) <u>Theresa T. Snider</u> .	(3)	·	
(2) John McGarry	. (4)		
Date of Interview: 9 November 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1-12 and 19-21			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of of the interview, since	the substance
·			
·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if app	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. McGarry of allowability of claims 1-12 and 19-21; Mr. McGarry agreed to the claim changes, as outlined in the Examiner's Amdt., to claim 1 and 19 to provide for proper antecedence. Mr. McGarry agreed to amend claim 20, line 2, 'detent' to 'mechanical linkage' (found in 0044) to provide proper correlation between the claim and the original disclosure.